

Distribution of $\omega(n)$ over h -free and h -full numbers

Sourabhashis Das ^{*}, Wentang Kuo [†] and Yu-Ru Liu [‡]

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo
200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1*

**s57das@uwaterloo.ca*

†wtkuo@uwaterloo.ca

‡yrliu@uwaterloo.ca

Received 29 February 2024

Revised 12 August 2024

Accepted 28 September 2024

Published 19 November 2024

Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of a natural number n . In 1917, Hardy and Ramanujan proved that $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over naturals. In this work, we establish the first and second moments of $\omega(n)$ over h -free and h -full numbers using a new counting argument and prove that $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over these subsets.

Keywords: Prime factors; the ω -function; h -free numbers; h -full numbers; normal order.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 11N37, 11N05, 11N56

1. Introduction

For a natural number n , let the prime factorization of n be given as

$$n = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_r^{s_r}, \quad (1.1)$$

where p_i s are its distinct prime factors and s_i s are their respective multiplicities. Let $\Omega(n)$ denote the total number of prime factors in the factorization of n and $\omega(n)$ denote the total number of distinct prime factors in the factorization of n . Hence, $\Omega(n) = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$ and $\omega(n) = r$. Let B_1 be the Mertens constant given by

$$B_1 = \gamma + \sum_p \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

with $\gamma \approx 0.57722$, the Euler–Mascheroni constant, and where the sum runs over all primes p . Let

$$B_2 = B_1 + \sum_p \frac{1}{p(p-1)}.$$

*Corresponding author.

The following average value formulas are well known (see [7, Theorem 430; 4, Sec. 1.4.4]):

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \omega(n) = x \log \log x + B_1 x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) = x \log \log x + B_2 x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let n be a natural number with the factorization given in (1.1). We say n is h -free if $s_i \leq h-1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and n is h -full if $s_i \geq h$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_h$ denote the set of h -free numbers and $\mathcal{N}_h$ denote the set of h -full numbers. Let $\gamma_{0,h}$ be the constant defined as

$$\gamma_{0,h} := \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p - p^{1/h}}{p^2(p^{1/h} - 1)}\right), \quad (1.4)$$

where the product runs over all primes p , and B_3 be the constant defined as

$$B_3 := h(B_2 - \log h) + \sum_p \frac{(h+1)p^{1+1/h} - hp - 2hp^{2/h} + (2h-1)p^{1/h}}{(p-1)(p^{1/h}-1)(p^{1+1/h} + p^{1/h} - p)}. \quad (1.5)$$

In [9, Theorems 1 and 2], Jakimczuk and Lalín established the first moments of $\Omega(n)$ over h -free and h -full numbers, respectively, as

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{S}_h}} \Omega(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(h)} x \log \log x + O_h(x) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}_h}} \Omega(n) = h\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} \log \log x + B_3\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} + O_h\left(\frac{x^{1/h}}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\zeta(s)$ represents the classical Riemann ζ -function, and where O_X denotes that the implied big- O constant depends on the variable set X . To obtain (1.6), they used the generating Dirichlet series for h -free numbers. For (1.7), they used the completely additive property of $\Omega(n)$ to split the sum into sums over divisors of n distinguished by their multiplicity, and then used generating series arguments to estimate these sums. This method for the h -full case cannot be extended to the study of $\omega(n)$, since $\omega(n)$ is not completely additive. This limitation of their approach is presented in [11, Line 15, p. 33] where the authors established the function field analog of [9]. Thus, to study the moments of $\omega(n)$ over h -full numbers, we use a new counting argument. At its core, our method relies on counting the natural numbers divisible by a given set of prime powers in a bounded range. This new

counting argument not only establishes the average distribution for $\omega(n)$ over h -free and h -full numbers, but also improves the results from [9]. In particular, it makes the coefficient of x in (1.6) explicit and improves the error term in (1.7) to $x^{1/h}/\log x$. Additionally, we employ this argument to establish the second moment estimate for $\omega(n)$ over the sets of h -free and h -full numbers.

The first part of our work employs the counting argument to study the moments of $\omega(n)$ over the subsets of h -free and h -full numbers. As the evidence in (1.2) and (1.3) suggests, the average distribution of $\omega(n)$ differs from that of $\Omega(n)$ in the second main term. Thus, asymptotically, they behave the same. We verify this phenomenon when restricted to these subsets.

We define the constants

$$C_1 := B_1 - \sum_p \frac{p-1}{p(p^h-1)} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$C_2 := C_1^2 + C_1 - \zeta(2) - \sum_p \left(\frac{p^{h-1}-1}{p^h-1} \right)^2.$$

Using the above definitions, we prove the first and second moments of $\omega(n)$ over h -free numbers as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x > 2$ be a real number. Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{S}_h(x)$ be the set of h -free numbers less than or equal to x . Then, we have*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(h)} x \log \log x + \frac{C_1}{\zeta(h)} x + O_h \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega^2(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(h)} x (\log \log x)^2 + \frac{2C_1+1}{\zeta(h)} x \log \log x + \frac{C_2}{\zeta(h)} x + O_h \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_h(r)$ be the convergent sum defined for $r > h$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_h(r) := \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{(r/h)-1} (p - p^{1-1/h} + 1)}, \quad (1.9)$$

where the sum runs over all primes. Using this, we define two new constants,

$$D_1 := B_1 - \log h + \mathcal{L}_h(h+1) - \mathcal{L}_h(2h) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$D_2 := D_1^2 + D_1 - \zeta(2) - \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{p - p^{1-1/h} + 1} \right)^2. \quad (1.11)$$

For the distributions of $\omega(n)$ over h -full numbers, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $x > 2$ be a real number. Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{N}_h(x)$ be the set of h -full numbers less than or equal to x . We have*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \log \log x + D_1 \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h}}{\log x} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega^2(n) &= \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} (\log \log x)^2 + (2D_1 + 1) \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \log \log x \\ &\quad + D_2 \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we introduce the definition of the normal order of an arithmetic function over a subset of natural numbers. This definition is inspired by the work of Elma and Liu [3] over naturals, where they extended the definition of normal order using an increasing function (see [6]) to a definition involving a nondecreasing function. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $S(x)$ denote the set of natural numbers belonging to S and less than or equal to x . Let $|S(x)|$ denote the cardinality of $S(x)$. Let $f, F : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be two functions such that F is nondecreasing. Then, $f(n)$ is said to have normal order $F(n)$ over S if for any $\epsilon > 0$, the number of $n \in S(x)$ that do not satisfy the inequality

$$(1 - \epsilon)F(n) \leq f(n) \leq (1 + \epsilon)F(n)$$

is $o(|S(x)|)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The distribution in (1.2) proves that, on average, $\omega(n)$ behaves like $\log \log n$ over rationals. In [6], Hardy and Ramanujan strengthened this result further by proving that $\omega(n)$ has the normal order $\log \log n$ over natural numbers. In [7, Sec. 22.11], one can find another proof of this result using the variance of $\omega(n)$ (see [7, (22.11.7)]).

Note that $\omega(n)$ may exhibit different normal orders over different subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. For instance, $\omega(p) = 1$ for any prime p , and thus $\omega(n)$ has normal order 1 over the set of all primes. However, in this work, we establish that $\omega(n)$ still has the normal order $\log \log n$ over h -free and h -full numbers. Since the set of h -free numbers has a positive density in $\mathbb{N}$, the proof of normal order of $\omega(n)$ over h -free numbers follows from the classical case. In particular, one can establish that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the number of $n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)$ that do not satisfy the inequality

$$(1 - \epsilon) \log \log n \leq \omega(n) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \log \log n$$

is $o(|\mathcal{S}_h(x)|)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, the set of h -full numbers has a density zero in $\mathbb{N}$ and thus does not directly follow from the classical result. However, writing an h -full number n as $n = r_0^h r_1$, where r_0 is the product of all distinct prime factors of n with multiplicity h , one can use the classical result on $\omega(r_0)$ to establish the normal order of $\omega(n)$ over h -full numbers. In this work, we provide another proof

of this result using the variance of $\omega(n)$ over the h -full numbers. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let D_2 be defined by (1.11) and $\gamma_{0,h}$ be defined by (1.4). Let $\mathcal{N}_h(x)$ be the set of h -full numbers less than or equal to x . Then, the variance of $\omega(n)$ over the h -full numbers is given by*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\omega(n) - \log \log n)^2 = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \log \log x + D_2 \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right).$$

Let $g(x)$ be an increasing function such that $g(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then the set of natural numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)$, such that

$$\frac{|\omega(n) - \log \log n|}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \geq g(x),$$

is $o(|\mathcal{N}_h(x)|)$. As a consequence, $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over the h -full numbers.

The counting argument used for $\omega(n)$ can be employed in the study of the distribution of $\Omega(n)$ and produce improvement to (1.6) and (1.7) as mentioned earlier. Let C_3 and C_4 be two new constants defined as

$$C_3 := B_2 - \sum_p \frac{h}{p^h - 1}$$

and

$$C_4 := C_3^2 + C_3 - \zeta(2) - \sum_p \left(\frac{p^h - hp + h - 1}{(p-1)(p^h - 1)} \right)^2.$$

One can improve (1.6) as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $x > 2$ be a real number. Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{S}_h(x)$ be the set of h -free numbers less than or equal to x . Then, we have*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \Omega(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(h)} x \log \log x + \frac{C_3}{\zeta(h)} x + O_h \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \Omega^2(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(h)} x (\log \log x)^2 + \frac{2C_3 + 1}{\zeta(h)} x \log \log x + \frac{C_4}{\zeta(h)} x + O_h \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right).$$

Recall B_3 from (1.5). Let B_4 be a new constant defined as

$$B_4 := B_3^2 + B_3 - h^2 \zeta(2) - \sum_p \left(\frac{h(p^{1/h} - 1) + 1}{(p^{1/h} - 1)(p - p^{1-1/h} + 1)} \right)^2.$$

Adopting this definition, one can improve (1.7) as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $x > 2$ be a real number. Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{N}_h(x)$ be the set of h -full numbers less than or equal to x . Then, we have*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \Omega(n) = h\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} \log \log x + B_3\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} + O_h\left(\frac{x^{1/h}}{\log x}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \Omega^2(n) &= h^2\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h}(\log \log x)^2 + (2B_3 + 1)h\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} \log \log x + B_4\gamma_{0,h}x^{1/h} \\ &\quad + O_h\left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the last two theorems and the method of variance demonstrated for $\omega(n)$ in this paper, one can establish the normal order of $\Omega(n)$ over h -free and h -full numbers as follows.

Corollary 1.1. *$\Omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over h -free numbers and normal order $h \log \log n$ over h -full numbers.*

The proofs for the $\Omega(n)$ results are not included in this paper. Interested readers can find the complete proofs in the Ph.D. thesis of Das [2].

2. Lemmata

In this section, we list all the lemmas required to study the first and second moments of $\omega(n)$ over the h -free and h -full numbers. First, we recall the following results regarding sums over primes necessary for the study.

Lemma 2.1 ([1, Lemma 1.2]). *Let $\tau > 1$ be any real number. Then,*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^\tau} = \frac{1}{(\tau - 1)x^{\tau-1}(\log x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{\tau-1}(\log x)^\tau}\right).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let α be any real number satisfying $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then,*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} = O_\alpha\left(\frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\log x}\right).$$

Proof. Recall that the classical prime number theorem yields

$$\pi(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Thus, using partial summation and integration by parts, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} = \frac{\pi(x)}{x^\alpha} + \alpha \int_{2-}^x \frac{\pi(t)}{t^{\alpha+1}} dt \ll_\alpha \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\log x},$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 ([12, Exercise 9.4.4]). For $x > 2$, we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x/2} \frac{1}{p \log(x/p)} = O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right).$$

Lemma 2.4. Let p and q denote prime numbers. For $x > 2$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \frac{1}{pq} = (\log \log x)^2 + 2B_1 \log \log x + B_1^2 - \zeta(2) + O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right).$$

Proof. The proof follows the exact arguments of Saidak [13, Lemma 3] except for a small correction. We notice that $\int_0^1 \log(1-t)/t \, dt = -\zeta(2)$ which proves the value of $-\zeta(2)$ in the main result as opposed to $+\zeta(2)$ stated by Saidak. $\square$

Finally, we recall the following results regarding the density of a particular sequence of h -free numbers.

Lemma 2.5 ([10, Lemma 3]). Let $x > 2$ be a real number. Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{S}_h(x)$ be the set of h -free numbers less than or equal to x . Let $q_1, \dots, q_r$ be the prime numbers. Then, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x) \\ (q_i, n)=1, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}} 1 = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i^h - q_i^{h-1}}{q_i^h - 1} \right) \frac{x}{\zeta(h)} + O_h\left(2^r x^{1/h}\right).$$

3. The First and Second Moments of $\omega(n)$ over h -Free Numbers

In this section, we establish the asymptotic distribution of $\omega(n)$ over h -free numbers.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p^k || n$ denote the property that p^k divides n but p^{k+1} does not divide n . Then writing $n = p^k y$ with $(y, p) = 1$ for such n and using $r = \min\{h-1, \lfloor \log x / \log p \rfloor\}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \\ p|n}} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x) \\ p^k || n}} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{S}_h(x/p^k) \\ (y, p)=1}} 1.$$

Now, first using Lemma 2.5 for a single prime p on the above and then using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega(n) = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^r \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \frac{x}{\zeta(h)} + O_h\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Using the bound $\lfloor x \rfloor \geq x - 1$ and (2.1), we can write

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^r \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Now, using

$$\sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{p-1}{p(p^h - 1)},$$

and Mertens' second theorem given as

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + B_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right), \quad (3.3)$$

and using Lemma 2.1 with $\tau = h$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) = \log \log x + C_1 + O_h\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

where C_1 is defined in (1.8). Combining the above with (3.1) and (3.2) completes the first part of the proof.

Next, let $r_p = \min\{h-1, \lfloor \log x / \log p \rfloor\}$ and $r_q = \min\{h-1, \lfloor \log x / \log q \rfloor\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega^2(n) &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \left(\sum_{p|n} 1 \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \omega(n) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p^k || n, \\ q^l || n, \\ p \neq q}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{r_p} \sum_{l=1}^{r_q} 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where p and q above denote the primes. The first sum on the right-hand side is the first moment studied above. For the second sum, we rewrite the sum and use Lemma 2.5 for the two primes p and q to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p^k || n, \\ q^l || n, \\ p \neq q}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{r_p} \sum_{l=1}^{r_q} 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p \neq q, \\ pq \leq x}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_p} \sum_{l=1}^{r_q} \left(\left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right) \frac{x}{\zeta(h)} + O_h\left(\frac{x^{1/h}}{p^{k/h} q^{l/h}}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We employ Lemma 2.2 with $\alpha = 1/h$ and Lemma 2.3 to estimate the error term above as

$$\sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p \neq q, \\ pq \leq x}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_p} \sum_{l=1}^{r_q} \frac{x^{1/h}}{p^{k/h} q^{l/h}} \ll x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p \neq q, \\ pq \leq x}} \frac{1}{(pq)^{1/h}} \ll_h \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}. \quad (3.6)$$

For estimating the main term in (3.5), we consider the set R defined as

$$R := \left\{ p \leq x \mid \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right\rfloor < h-1 \right\}.$$

Using the definitions of r_p and r_q , we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_p} \sum_{l=1}^{r_q} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x}} \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \sum_{l=1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right) - I_1 - I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x \\ p \in R}} \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right\rfloor + 1}^{h-1} \sum_{l=1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right), \\ I_2 &= \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x \\ q \in R}} \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \sum_{l=\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right), \\ I_3 &= \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x \\ p,q \in R}} \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right\rfloor + 1}^{h-1} \sum_{l=\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The sums I_1 , I_2 and I_3 contribute to the error term. Note that $I_1 = I_2$. In fact, using (3.3) and $\lfloor x \rfloor \geq x - 1$, we estimate

$$I_1 \ll \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x \\ p \in R}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{\log x}{\log p}}} \frac{1}{q} \ll \frac{1}{x} \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} \ll \frac{\log \log x}{x}.$$

Finally, for I_3 , using (2.1), we have

$$I_3 \ll \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x \\ p,q \in R}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{\log x}{\log p}}} \frac{1}{q^{\frac{\log x}{\log q}}} \ll \frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \ll \frac{1}{x \log x}.$$

We next estimate the main term in (3.7). First, we rewrite the sum as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x}} \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \sum_{l=1}^{h-1} \left(\frac{p^h - p^{h-1}}{p^k(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q^h - q^{h-1}}{q^l(q^h - 1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x}} \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right) \left(\frac{q^{h-1} - 1}{q^h - 1} \right) - \sum_{\substack{p \\ p \leq x^{1/2}}} \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The second sum above is estimated using Lemma 2.1 as

$$\sum_{\substack{p \\ p \leq x^{1/2}}} \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right)^2 = \sum_p \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right)^2 + O \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/2} \log x} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

For the first sum on the right-hand side in (3.8), using

$$\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)},$$

and the symmetry in p and q , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right) \left(\frac{q^{h-1} - 1}{q^h - 1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \frac{1}{pq} - 2 \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{q - 1}{q(q^h - 1)} \right) + \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \left(\frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q - 1}{q(q^h - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the sums on the right-hand side above separately. For the first sum, we use Lemma 2.4. For the second sum, we use Lemma 2.1 and then (3.3) and (2.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{q - 1}{q(q^h - 1)} \right) &= \sum_{\substack{p \\ p \leq x/2}} \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_p \frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)} + O \left(\frac{1}{(x/p) \log(x/p)} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_p \frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)} \right) (\log \log x + B_1) + O \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the third sum, we use Lemma 2.1 twice and then Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \left(\frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{q - 1}{q(q^h - 1)} \right) = \left(\sum_p \frac{p - 1}{p(p^h - 1)} \right)^2 + O \left(\frac{\log \log x}{x \log x} \right).$$

Combining the last three results and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ pq \leq x}} \left(\frac{p^{h-1} - 1}{p^h - 1} \right) \left(\frac{q^{h-1} - 1}{q^h - 1} \right) &= (\log \log x)^2 + 2C_1 \log \log x + C_1^2 - \zeta(2) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.4)–(3.9) with the above equation and using the first-moment estimate, we obtain the required second moment. $\square$

4. The First and Second Moments of $\omega(n)$ over h -Full Numbers

Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. Recall that $\mathcal{N}_h$ is the set of h -full numbers and $\mathcal{N}_h(x)$ is the set of h -full numbers less than or equal to x . Note that if $n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)$, then for any prime $p|n$, we must have $p^h|n$. Moreover, the maximal power of p that divides any such $n \leq x$ is $\lfloor \log x / \log p \rfloor$. Using this and $n = p^k y$ with $(y, p) = 1$ when $p^k || n$, we can write

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p^h|n}} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{N}_h(x/p^k) \\ (y,p)=1}} 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Let q be a fixed prime. We intend to estimate the sum

$$A_{q,h}(y) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(y) \\ (n,q)=1}} 1. \quad (4.2)$$

To prove the estimate for the above sum, we will use the techniques from the work of Ivić and Shiu [8]. Let's recall some of the results about h -full numbers from their work in the following.

Notice that the generating series for the h -full numbers is defined on $\Re(s) > 1/h$ as

$$N_h(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{hs}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{ks}} + \cdots \right) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p^{-hs}}{1 - p^{-s}} \right).$$

Let $A_h(y)$ denote the number of h -full integers not exceeding y . In [8, Sec. 1], Ivić and Shiu proved that

$$N_h(s) = \zeta(hs) \zeta((h+1)s) \cdots \zeta((2h-1)s) \zeta^{-1}((2h+2)s) \phi_h(s), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\phi_h(s)$ satisfies

$$\prod_p \left(1 - p^{-(2h+2)s} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} p^{-rs} \right) = \zeta^{-1}((2h+2)s) \phi_h(s), \quad (4.4)$$

and where $a_{r,h}$ satisfies the identity

$$\left(1 + \frac{v^h}{1-v} \right) (1-v^h) \cdots (1-v^{2h-1}) = 1 - v^{2h+2} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} v^r. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that $|a_{r,h}| \leq h2^h$, $\phi_2(s) = 1$ and $\phi_h(s)$ has a Dirichlet series with abscissa of absolute convergence equal to $1/(2h+3)$ if $h > 2$. Moreover, it is also established that

$$N_h(s) = G_h(s) K_h(s),$$

where

$$K_h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_h(n) n^{-s} = \zeta(hs) \zeta((h+1)s) \cdots \zeta((2h-1)s) \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$G_h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_h(n)n^{-s} = \frac{\phi_h(s)}{\zeta((2h+2)s)}$$

and where $G_h(s)$ converges absolutely in $\Re(s) > 1/(2h+2)$. Thus, one can write

$$A_h(y) = \sum_{mn \leq y} g_h(m)k_h(n).$$

Additionally, let $S_h(y)$ denote the sum

$$S_h(y) := \sum_{n \leq y} k_h(n). \quad (4.7)$$

In [8, Theorem 1], Ivić and Shiu proved that

$$S_h(y) = \sum_{r=h}^{2h-1} C_{r,h} y^{1/r} + \Delta_h^*(y), \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$C_{r,h} = \prod_{j=h, j \neq r}^{2h-1} \zeta(j/r) \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\Delta_h^*(y) \ll y^{\eta_h}, \quad (4.10)$$

with $1/(2h+2) < \eta_h < 1/(2h-1)$. Using the above prerequisite results, we establish an asymptotic result for $A_{q,h}(y)$ as defined in (4.2). We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r$ be distinct primes. Let*

$$A_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x) \\ (n, q_1) = \dots = (n, q_r) = 1}} 1.$$

For any $x > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(x) &= \gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, 0, h} x^{\frac{1}{h}} + \gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, 1, h} x^{\frac{1}{h+1}} + \dots + \gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h-1, h} x^{\frac{1}{2h-1}} \\ &\quad + O_h(x^{\eta_h}), \end{aligned}$$

where $1/(2h+2) < \eta_h < 1/(2h-1)$, and for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, h-1\}$,

$$\gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, i, h} = C_{h+i, h} \frac{\phi_h(1/(h+i))}{\zeta((2h+2)/(h+i)) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{q_j^{-h/(h+i)}}{1 - q_j^{-1/(h+i)}} \right) \right)}, \quad (4.11)$$

with $C_{h+i, h}$ defined in (4.9) and $\phi_h(s)$ satisfying (4.4).

Proof. Let us consider the sum defined on $\Re(s) > 1/h$ as

$$N_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(s) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{N}_h \\ (n, q_1) = \dots = (n, q_r) = 1}} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \neq q_1, \dots, q_r} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-hs}}{1 - p^{-s}} \right).$$

By (4.3), we have

$$N_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(s) = \zeta(hs) \zeta((h+1)s) \dots \zeta((2h-1)s) \frac{\zeta^{-1}((2h+2)s) \phi_h(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{q_j^{-hs}}{1 - q_j^{-s}} \right)}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$N_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(s) = G_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(s) K_h(s),$$

where $K_h(s)$ is defined in (4.6) and

$$G_{q, h}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(n) n^{-s} = \frac{\phi_h(s)}{\zeta((2h+2)s) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{q_j^{-hs}}{1 - q_j^{-s}} \right) \right)}.$$

By (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_h(s)}{\zeta((2h+2)s) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{q_j^{-hs}}{1 - q_j^{-s}} \right) \right)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p \\ p \neq q_1, \dots, q_r}} \left(1 - p^{-(2h+2)s} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} p^{-rs} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{r=h}^{2h-1} (1 - q_j^{-rs}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Note that, for $\Re(s) > 1/(2h+2)$ and for all sufficiently large p , using $|a_{r,h}| \leq h2^h$, we have

$$\left| p^{-(2h+2)s} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} p^{-rs} \right| < 1.$$

Moreover, $|q_j^{-rs}| < 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$ and for all $r \in \{h, h+1, \dots, 2h-1\}$. Thus, taking the logarithm of the right-hand side of (4.12) in the region $\Re(s) > 1/(2h+2)$

and using $|a_{r,h}| \leq h2^h$ again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left(\prod_{\substack{p \\ p \neq q_1, \dots, q_r}} \left(1 - p^{-(2h+2)s} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} p^{-rs} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{r=h}^{2h-1} (1 - q_j^{-rs}) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p \\ p \neq q_1, \dots, q_r}} \log \left(1 - p^{-(2h+2)s} + \sum_{r=2h+3}^{(3h^2+h-2)/2} a_{r,h} p^{-rs} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{r=h}^{2h-1} \log (1 - q_j^{-rs}) \\ &\ll_{h,r} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{(2h+2)\Re(s)} + \frac{1}{2^{h\Re(s)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term of the previous equation is bounded with $\ll_h \zeta((2h+2)\Re(s)+1)/2^{h\Re(s)}$ and thus is finite for $\Re(s) > 1/(2h+2)$. Hence, by the theory of convergence of infinite products, $G_{q,h}(s)$ converges absolutely in $\Re(s) > 1/(2h+2)$.

Now, we can write

$$A_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(x) = \sum_{mn \leq x} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m) k_h(n) = \sum_{m \leq x} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m) S_h(x/m),$$

where S_h is defined in (4.7). Further, using (4.8), we obtain

$$A_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(x) = \sum_{r=h}^{2h-1} C_{r,h} x^{1/r} \left(\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m)}{m^{1/r}} \right) + \sum_{m \leq x} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m) \Delta_h^*(x/m).$$

We need to estimate the sums above. Note that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(n) n^{-\eta_h} = O_h(1).$$

Thus, for each $r = h, h+1, \dots, 2h-1$, using partial summation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m)}{m^{1/r}} &= G_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(1/r) - \sum_{m > x} \frac{g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m)}{m^{1/r}} \\ &= G_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(1/r) + O_h \left(x^{\eta_h - \frac{1}{r}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.10),

$$\sum_{m \leq x} g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m) \Delta_h^*(x/m) \ll x^{\eta_h} \sum_{m \leq x} |g_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(m)| m^{-\eta_h} \ll_h x^{\eta_h}.$$

Combining the above results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(x) &= \sum_{r=h}^{2h-1} C_{r,h} G_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h}(1/r) x^{1/r} + O_h(x^{\eta_h}) \\ &= \gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, 0, h} x^{1/h} + \dots + \gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, h-1, h} x^{1/(2h-1)} + O_h(x^{\eta_h}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.1. Note that

$$\gamma_{q_1, \dots, q_r, 0, h} = \frac{\gamma_{0, h}}{\prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{q_j^{-1}}{1 - q_j^{-1/h}} \right)}, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\gamma_{0, h} = C_{h, h} \frac{\phi_h(1/h)}{\zeta((2h+2)/h)} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p - p^{1/h}}{p^2(p^{1/h} - 1)} \right), \quad (4.14)$$

with the product formula described in [9, p. 3].

We use Lemma 4.1 with one prime to prove the first and second moment estimates for $\omega(n)$ over the h -full numbers. We prove the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Inserting the formula for $A_{q, h}(y)$ given in Lemma 4.1 with $y = x/p^k$ in (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) &= \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} A_{p, h}(x/p^k) \\ &= \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} (\gamma_{p, 0, h}(x/p^k)^{1/h} + \dots + \gamma_{p, h-1, h}(x/p^k)^{1/(2h-1)} + O_h((x/p^k)^{\eta})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Let us study the main term above given as

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \gamma_{p, 0, h}(x/p^k)^{1/h} = \gamma_{0, h} x^{1/h} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-1/h}} \right)} \right).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1-p^{-1/h}} \right)} \right) \\ &= \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} - \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{(p^{-1/h})^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor - h + 1}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Using $\lfloor x \rfloor \geq x - 1$ and then (2.1) with $y = x^{1/h}$, we bound the second term above with

$$\gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{(p^{-1/h})^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor - h + 1}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} \ll_h \frac{x^{1/h}}{\log x}. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, it remains to study the first term in (4.16). A little manipulation yields

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} = \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{p^{-1/h} - p^{-1}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})}. \quad (4.18)$$

For the first sum on the right-hand side above, we use (3.3) to obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x - \log h + B_1 + O_h \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right). \quad (4.19)$$

For the second sum on the right-hand side of (4.18), we use the convergence of the corresponding infinite series and Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{p^{-1/h} - p^{-1}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} = \mathcal{L}_h(h+1) - \mathcal{L}_h(2h) + O_h \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/h^2}(\log x)} \right).$$

Combining the last three results, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} = \log \log x + D_1 + O_h \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right), \quad (4.20)$$

where D_1 is defined in (1.10). Combining the above result with (4.15)–(4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) &= \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \log \log x + D_1 \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h}}{\log x} \right) \\ &\quad + O_h \left(\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} (x/p^k)^{1/(h+1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

For the error term above, using Lemma 2.2 with $\alpha = h/(h+1)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} (x/p^k)^{1/(h+1)} \ll x^{1/(h+1)} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}} \frac{1}{p^{h/(h+1)}} \ll_h \frac{x^{1/h}}{\log x}.$$

Inserting the above back into (4.21) completes the first part of the proof.

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega^2(n) &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \left(\sum_{p^h | n} 1 \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p^k || n, q^l || n, p \neq q}} \left(\sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The first sum on the right-hand side above is the first moment studied earlier. For the second sum, we first rewrite the sum, use Lemma 4.1 with two distinct primes p and q and use (4.13) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p^k || n, q^l || n, p \neq q}} \left(\sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} 1 \right) \\ &= \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1-p^{-1/h}} \right)} \frac{1}{q^{l/h} \left(1 + \frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{-1/h}} \right)} \\ &\quad + O_h \left(x^{\frac{1}{h+1}} \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/(h+1)} q^{l/(h+1)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

The error term above is bounded by using Lemma 2.2 and then Lemma 2.3 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x^{\frac{1}{h+1}} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/(h+1)} q^{l/(h+1)}} \\
 & \ll_h x^{\frac{1}{h+1}} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}/2} \frac{1}{p^{h/(h+1)}} \sum_{q \leq x^{1/h}/p} \frac{1}{q^{h/(h+1)}} \\
 & \ll_h x^{\frac{1}{h}} \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}/2} \frac{1}{p \log(x^{1/h}/p)} \\
 & \ll_h \frac{x^{\frac{1}{h}} \log \log x}{\log x}. \tag{4.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the main term in (4.23). First note that

$$\sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1-p^{-1/h}}\right)} = \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} - \frac{p^{-\frac{1}{h}(\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor - h + 1)}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})}.$$

Thus, using a similar result for a prime q as above and the symmetry of two primes p and q , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1-p^{-1/h}}\right)} \frac{1}{q^{l/h} \left(1 + \frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{-1/h}}\right)} \\
 & = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1-q^{-1/h}+q^{-1})} - 2J_1 + J_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{h}(\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor - h + 1)}}{q(1-q^{-1/h}+q^{-1})}$$

and

$$J_2 = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{p^{-\frac{1}{h}(\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor - h + 1)}}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{h}(\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor - h + 1)}}{q(1-q^{-1/h}+q^{-1})}.$$

Using $\lfloor x \rfloor \geq x - 1$, (2.1) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$J_1 \ll_h \sum_{p \leq x^{1/h}/2} \frac{1}{p(1-p^{-1/h}+p^{-1})} \left(\sum_{q \leq x^{1/h}/p} 1 \right) \ll_h \frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$J_2 \ll_h \sum_{\substack{p \\ p \leq x^{1/h}/2}} \frac{p^{-\frac{1}{h}(\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor - h + 1)}}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \left(\sum_{\substack{q \\ q \leq x^{1/h}/p}} 1 \right) \ll_h \frac{\log \log x}{\log x}.$$

Combining the last three results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \sum_{k=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor} \sum_{l=h}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p^{k/h} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-1/h}}\right)} \frac{1}{q^{l/h} \left(1 + \frac{q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1/h}}\right)} \\ & = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ & \quad + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Thus, to complete the proof, we only require to estimate the main term in (4.25).

Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ p \neq q, pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} - \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{p - p^{1-1/h} + 1} \right)^2 \\ & \quad + O_h \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/(2h)} \log x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Now, using

$$\frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{p^{-1/h} - p^{-1}}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})},$$

a similar result for another prime q and the symmetry of primes p and q , we write the first sum on the right-hand side of (4.26) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{pq} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{q^{-1/h} - q^{-1}}{pq(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{p^{-1/h} - p^{-1}}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{q^{-1/h} - q^{-1}}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

The first sum on the right-hand side above is estimated using Lemma 2.4. For the second sum, we use Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and (3.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{q^{-1/h} - q^{-1}}{pq(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p \\ p \leq x^{1/h}/2}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{q \\ q \leq x^{1/h}/p}} \frac{q^{-1/h} - q^{-1}}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_h(h+1) - \mathcal{L}_h(2h))(\log \log x + B_1 - \log h) + O_h\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, for the third sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{p^{-1/h} - p^{-1}}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{q^{-1/h} - q^{-1}}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_h(h+1) - \mathcal{L}_h(2h))^2 + O_h\left(\frac{\log \log x}{x^{1/h^2} \log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last three results with Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ pq \leq x^{1/h}}} \frac{1}{p(1 - p^{-1/h} + p^{-1})} \frac{1}{q(1 - q^{-1/h} + q^{-1})} \\ &= (\log \log x)^2 + 2D_1 \log \log x + D_1^2 - \zeta(2) + O_h\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with (4.22)–(4.26) and using the first moment completes the proof for the second moment. $\square$

5. Normal Order of $\omega(n)$ over h -Full Numbers

In this section, using the variance of $\omega(n)$ over the set of h -full numbers, we prove that $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ when restricted to this set.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\omega(n) - \log \log n)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega^2(n) - 2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) \log \log n + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\log \log n)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

It is also well known that (see [8, Lemma 1])

$$|\mathcal{N}_h(x)| = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h(x^{1/(h+1)}), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\gamma_{0,h}$ is defined in (1.4). Using the above with the first moment of $\omega(n)$ over h -full numbers given in Theorem 1.2 and the partial summation formula, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} \omega(n) \log \log n = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} (\log \log x)^2 + \gamma_{0,h} D_1 x^{1/h} \log \log x + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\log \log n)^2 = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} (\log \log x)^2 + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right).$$

Using the above two results and the second moment of $\omega(n)$ over the h -full numbers given in Theorem 1.2 in (5.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\omega(n) - \log \log n)^2 = \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} \log \log x + D_2 \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h} + O_h \left(\frac{x^{1/h} \log \log x}{\log x} \right).$$

For the second part, let $g(x)$ be an increasing function such that $g(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and let E_{full}^h be the set of natural numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h$ with $x/\log x < n \leq x$ such that

$$\frac{|\omega(n) - \log \log n|}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \geq g(x).$$

Let $|E_{\text{full}}^h|$ be the cardinality of E_{full}^h . Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x)} (\omega(n) - \log \log n)^2 > g^2(x/\log x) |E_{\text{full}}^h| \log \log(x/\log x).$$

Using the asymptotic result for the left-hand side above, we deduce

$$\frac{|E_{\text{full}}^h|}{x^{1/h}} \ll_h \frac{\log \log x}{g^2(x/\log x) \log \log(x/\log x)}.$$

Since $g(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the right-hand side above goes to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $|E_{\text{full}}^h| = o(x^{1/h})$. Note that, by (5.2), $|\mathcal{N}_h(x)| \sim \gamma_{0,h} x^{1/h}$. Thus, the set of natural numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h$ with $x/\log x < n \leq x$ such that

$$\frac{|\omega(n) - \log \log n|}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \geq g(x),$$

is $o(|\mathcal{N}_h(x)|)$. Again, by (5.2), the remaining set of natural numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x/\log x)$ is $o(|\mathcal{N}_h(x)|)$. Together, this proves the first part of the theorem. Next, we choose ϵ' such that $0 < \epsilon' < 1/2$ and choose the function $g(x) = (\log \log x)^{\epsilon'}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\epsilon' < 1/2$, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \geq x_0$ and for all n

with $x/\log x < n \leq x$,

$$\frac{(\log \log x)^{\epsilon'}}{(\log \log n)^{1/2}} < \frac{(\log \log x)^{\epsilon'}}{(\log \log(x/\log x))^{1/2}} \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the set of numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h$ with $x/\log x \leq n \leq x$ that satisfy the inequality

$$\frac{|\omega(n) - \log \log n|}{\log \log n} \geq \epsilon,$$

is $o(|\mathcal{N}_h(x)|)$. This together with the fact that the remaining set of natural numbers $n \in \mathcal{N}_h(x/\log x)$ is $o(|\mathcal{N}_h(x)|)$ implies that $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over h -full numbers. $\square$

In this work, we employ a counting argument to establish that $\omega(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$ over the h -free and h -full numbers. In addition, we can also establish that $\omega(n)$ satisfies the Gaussian distribution over the subsets of h -free and h -full numbers. We will report this result in a follow-up paper. The function field analog of this research has been studied by Lalín and Zhang [11].

Let $k \geq 1$ be a natural number. Let $\omega_k(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of a natural number n with multiplicity k . Elma and Liu [3] studied the distribution of $\omega_k(n)$ over the natural numbers. They established that $\omega_1(n)$ behaves asymptotically similar to $\omega(n)$, whereas $\omega_k(n)$ with $k \geq 2$ is asymptotically smaller compared to $\omega(n)$ over the naturals. Moreover, over the natural numbers, $\omega_1(n)$ has normal order $\log \log n$, and $\omega_k(n)$ with $k \geq 2$ does not have a normal order. This naturally raises the question about the behavior of $\omega_k(n)$ over any subset of natural numbers, and whether they have the same normal order as $\omega(n)$ over such subsets. We will study the distribution of $\omega_k(n)$ over the particular sets of h -free and h -full numbers in a separate paper and answer the questions related to their behavior. Note that the function field analog of this research has been studied by Gómez and Lalín [5].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and for providing an outline of a direct proof of the normal order result over the h -full numbers using the classical case as discussed in the introduction. The authors would also like to thank Matilde Lalín for the helpful discussions.

The research of Wentang Kuo and Yu-Ru Liu has been supported by the NSERC Grants RGPIN-2020-03915 and 50503-11689, respectively.

ORCID

Sourabhashis Das  <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8831-1047>

Wentang Kuo  <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5172-0238>

Yu-Ru Liu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8962-8608>

References

- [1] K. Alladi and P. Erdős, On an additive arithmetic function, *Pacific J. Math.* **71**(2) (1977) 275–294.
- [2] S. Das, On the distributions of divisor counting functions, Ph.D. thesis (University of Waterloo, ON, Canada, 2025).
- [3] E. Elma and Y.-R. Liu, Number of prime factors with a given multiplicity, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **65**(1) (2022) 253–269.
- [4] S. R. Finch, *Mathematical Constants: II*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 169 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019).
- [5] J. A. Gómez and M. Lalin, Prime factors with given multiplicity in h -free and h -full polynomials over function fields, preprint (2023), <https://dms.umontreal.ca/mlalin/omegak.pdf>.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, The normal number of prime factors of a number n [*Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, XLVIII, 1917, 76–92], in *Collected Papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan* (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, 2000), pp. 262–275.
- [7] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman, with a foreword by Andrew Wiles, 6th edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008).
- [8] A. Ivić and P. Shiu, The distribution of powerful integers, *Illinois J. Math.* **26**(4) (1982) 576–590.
- [9] R. Jakimczuk and M. Lalin, The number of prime factors on average in certain integer sequences, *J. Integer Seq.* **25**(2) (2022) 22.2.3.
- [10] R. Jakimczuk and M. Lalin, Sums of $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ over the k -free parts and k -full parts of some particular sequences, *Integers* **22** (2022) A113.
- [11] M. Lalin and Z. Zhang, The number of prime factors in h -free and h -full polynomials over function fields, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **104**(3–4) (2024) 377–421.
- [12] M. R. Murty, *Problems in Analytic Number Theory*, 2nd edn., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 206 (Springer, New York, 2008).
- [13] F. Saidak, An elementary proof of a theorem of Delange, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **24**(4) (2002) 144–151.